

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**925 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13052 OF 2022
IN APEAL FROM ORDER NO.32 OF 2011**

**BHARATKUMAR UTTAMLAL SHAH AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
PRADIPKUMAR AMRUTLAL SHAH AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Mangesh M. Jadhav
h/f. Mr. Shah Subodh P.

...
CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 23/09/2022.

....

PER COURT. :

The application is filed for serving notice to respondent Nos.5 and 6 through paper publication.

2. It appears that the notices to respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are returned unserved for the reason that they were not found residing on the said addresses. However, those addresses are the only last old addresses of respondent Nos. 5 and 6. As such, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B' on necessary charges to be paid to the concerned newspapers directly.

3. The application is accordingly disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**