

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8222 OF 2022

DEVCHAND PUNAMCHAND SAVARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Shri Bora Rupeshkumar C.
AGP for the Respondents/State : Shri S.K. Tambe
...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.

DATE :- 11th August, 2022

Per Court :-

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clause B as under

:-

“B) By way of issue of writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or directions in the like nature, to direct the erring respondents to stop the ongoing substandard quality construction of the drainage line and cement concrete road in the ward No.19 of the Municipal Corporation area of Jalna city. Further, to direct the respondent No.4 to re-assign the said work to any reputed contractor and to get it done under supervision of any independent authority/agency.”

2. We find that a skeletal writ petition has been filed without specific details or research as to what is the basis of the

formulating the prayer reproduced above. This petition apparently seems to be based on hearsay information.

3. The learned advocate for the petitioner concedes that the petitioner neither has an expertise to assess the quality of the cement concrete road and drainage line nor does he possesses infrastructure to make such assessment and to state conclusively that the work done is of substandard nature. The learned advocate further submits that as the petitioner is a carpenter, he would not have specific knowledge of assessing whether, the drainage/ road quality is bad or inferior.

4. In view of the above, this Writ Petition is dismissed.

5. In the event, the petitioner has sufficient material on hand and is backed by research so as to put forth the claim in public interest, he may be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy as may be permissible in law.

kps (ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)