

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**FIRST APPEAL NO.2460 OF 2008**

ASHOK VITTHALRAO JAGTAP  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

**WITH**

...

FIRST APPEAL NO.1199 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1162 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1170 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1167 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.2455 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.2452 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.2454 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.2482 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.2458 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.2459 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.2453 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.2456 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1166 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1165 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1169 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1172 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1168 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1179 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.2931 OF 2009, FIRST APPEAL NO.1730 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1956 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1952 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1955 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1953 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1750 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1754 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1753 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1755 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1732 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1731 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1752 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1751 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1231 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1232 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1233 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1234 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1235 OF 2008, FIRST APPEAL NO.1236 OF 2008,  
FIRST APPEAL NO.1954 OF 2008

...

Advocate for Appellant/claimant:

Mr. R. J. Nirmal h/f. Mr. Mahesh S. Deshmukh  
Advocate for Respondent / MIDC: Mr. Khaire h/f.

Mr. S. S. Dande

AGP for Respondent / State: Mr. P. M. Kulkarni

...

**CORAM: SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.**

**DATE: 02<sup>nd</sup> MAY, 2022**

**PER COURT:**

1. Not on board. Taken on board. Heard Mr. R. J. Nirmal holding for Mr. Mahesh S. Deshmukh, learned

Counsel for the original claimants, Mr. Khaire holding for Mr. S. S. Dande, learned Counsel for the MIDC.

2. Mr. R. J. Nirmal holding for Mr. Mahesh S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the original claimants invited my attention to the operative part of the order passed by this Court dated 21.04.2022 in a group of First Appeals vide First Appeal No.2460 of 2008 with connected appeals. He points out that in Clause No.(iii) of the operative order, this Court has directed the Registry to pay the amount of compensation, if any, lying with the Registry, however the word accrued interest is missing. He, therefore, urged to add the word accrued interest in Clause No.(iii) of the operative order.

3. Clause No.(iii) of the operative order dated 21.04.2022 shall be read as under-

(iii) The amount, if any, lying with the Registry, it be paid alongwith accrued interest thereon to the respective claimants as per the procedure.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

marathe