

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8390 OF 2022
(Ganga Dashrath Padvi Vs. The State of Maharashtra Through the
Additional Secretary and another)

Mr.G.D.Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.G.Sangle, AGP for State.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.)

DATE : OCTOBER 14, 2022

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clause 'B' and 'C' as under :-

"B. By way of appropriate writ, order or direction in the like nature, this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to issue directions to the respondent No.1/ The Additional Tribal Commissioner, Nashik to give the Final Rojandari Experience Marks as per Project Officer Certificate in view of G.R. dated 01.12.2018 issued by the respondent No.1 / The State of Maharashtra, Through the Additional Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mumbai, in respect of exercising special recruitment process for filling the posts of teaching and non teaching staff in Tribal Aashram School and Boarding's and communication dated 20.02.2019.

C. Pending hearing and final disposal of this writ petition, this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to issue directions to the respondent No.1 / The Additional Tribal Commissioner, Nashik to give the Final Rojandari Experience Marks as per Project Officer Certificate in view of GR dated

01.12.2018 issued by the respondent No.1 / The State of Maharashtra, through the Additional Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mumbai, in respect of exercising special recruitment process for filling the posts of teaching and non teaching staff in Tribal Aashram School and Boarding's and communication dated 20.02.2019."

2. The learned AGP has taken instructions and submits that the case of the petitioner would be considered as per the directions issued by this Court in paragraph Nos. 31 (a to d) of the judgment dated 29.04.2022 delivered in WP No.1596/2021 filed by Vikram Bawajya Valvi and others Vs. The State of Maharashtra Through its Secretary and another.

3. It is clarified that when the petitioner's turn comes, his case would be processed strictly in view of the above guidelines of this Court.

4. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is satisfied and this petition can be disposed off in the light of the statement made by the learned AGP.

5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed off.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)