

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

905 WRIT PETITION NO.8438 OF 2022

BRAHMDEV KANTILAL VALVI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE ADDITIONAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

Mr.G.D.Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.A.R.Kale, AGP for the respondent/State.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.)

DATE : OCTOBER 06, 2022

PER COURT :

1. The petitioners have put forth prayer clause 'B' and 'C' as under :-

"B. By way of appropriate writ, order or direction in the like nature, this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to issue directions to the respondent No.1/ The Additional Tribal Commissioner, Nashik to give the Final Rojandari Experience Marks as per Project Officer Certificate in view of G.R. dated 01.12.2018 issued by the respondent No.1 / The State of Maharashtra, Through the Additional Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mumbai, in respect of exercising special recruitment process for filling the posts of teaching and non teaching staff in Tribal Aashram School and Boarding's and communication dated 20.02.2019.

C. Pending hearing and final disposal of this writ petition, this Hon'ble High Court be pleased to issue directions to the respondent No.1 / The

Additional Tribal Commissioner, Nashik to give the Final Rojandari Experience Marks as per Project Officer Certificate in view of GR dated 01.12.2018 issued by the respondent No.1 / The State of Maharashtra, through the Additional Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mumbai, in respect of exercising special recruitment process for filling the posts of teaching and non teaching staff in Tribal Aashram School and Boarding's and communication dated 20.02.2019."

2. The learned AGP has taken instructions and submits that the case of the petitioners would be considered as per the directions issued by this Court in paragraph Nos. 31 (a to d) of the judgment dated 29.04.2022 delivered in WP No.1596/2021 filed by Vikram Bawajya Valvi and others Vs. The State of Maharashtra Through its Secretary and another.

3. It is clarified that when the petitioners' turn comes, his case would be processed strictly in view of the above guidelines of this Court.

4. The learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are satisfied and this petition can be disposed off in the light of the statement made by the learned AGP.

4. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed off.
5. The petitioners shall clear the deficit court fees of Rs.250/-.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)