

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

901 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2762 OF 2022 IN SA/733/2003
WITH CA/2763/2022 IN SA/732/2003

BHIKAN SILEMAN SHAIKH AND OTHERS
VERSUS
AMIRBHAI BABAANBHAI SAYYED DECEASED LRS AND OTHERS

WITH
SECOND APPEAL NO.732 OF 2003;
SECOND APPEAL NO.733 OF 2003
WITH
CA/7211/2013 IN SA/732/2003 WITH CA/9959/2018 IN SA/732/2003
WITH CA/9960/2018 IN SA/733/2003

...
Advocate for Appellants no. 1C-a to 1C-f : Mrs. C.S. Deshmukh
(SA/732/2003 and SA/733/2003)
Advocate for Appellant no. 1-B(i) : Mr. A.R. Vaidya (SA/732/2003 and
SA/733/2003)
Advocate for Appellants no. 2-A to 2-F and 3 : Mr. A.R. Rathod (SA/732/2003
and SA/733/2003)
Advocate for respondent no. 1-I to 1-XIV , 2-A to 2-F, 3 to 14, : Mr. R.F. Totala
in both appeals
...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 25 FEBRUARY 2022

PC :

Civil Applications no. 2762 of 2022 and 2763 of 2022 are filed for bringing on record the legal representatives of appellant no. 2. The other side does not have any objection. The applications are also in time.

2. In view of the extension of limitation by the Supreme Court, the applications are allowed. Necessary amendments to be carried out forthwith.

3. It appears that various advocates appear for different appellants in both these appeals.

4. Mrs. C.S.Deshmukh, Mr. A.R. Vaidya and Mr. A.R. Rathod on written instructions from their respective clients seek to withdraw the appeals. They have filed written instructions on the record. Those are marked as "X-1", "X-2" and "X-3" for the purpose of identification.

5. Mr. Totala, learned advocate for the respondents submits that his clients do not have any objection.

6. In view of the request, both the Appeals are disposed of as withdrawn.

7. Refund the Court fees as per rules.

8. All the pending civil applications stand disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/