

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**944 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11166 OF 2022
IN FAST/18125/2019**

**RAJESH RAMRAO KESGIRE
VERSUS
DIGAMBAR MACHANDAR AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. G. L. Deshpande
Advocate for Respondent No.1: Mr. V. D. Gunale
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. R. H. Dahat

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 29/07/2022.

....

P. C. :

1. Heard.
2. Admittedly the respondent No.2 is not yet served despite efforts taken by the applicant i.e. original claimant. The bailiff report indicates that respondent No.2 has left the area. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the respondent No.2 had in fact served before the Tribunal on the same address. Considering this, the application stands allowed and applicant is permitted to serve respondent No.2 by way of substituted service i.e. by paper publication on necessary charges.
3. The civil application stands disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)