

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4173 OF 2020
IN X-OBJST/9689/2019**

**RUKHMINIBAI SAKHARAM KATAKE
VERSUS
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER LOWER TERNA CANAL DIVISION NO.1
NILANGA AND ANR**

...

AND

**917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6188 OF 2020
IN X-OBJST/19282/2019**

WITH

**CA/6192/2020 IN X-OBJST/19289/2019 WITH
CA/6193/2020 IN X-OBJST/19348/2019 WITH
CA/6189/2020 IN X-OBJST/19357/2019 WITH
CA/6186/2020 IN X-OBJST/19342/2019 WITH
CA/6187/2020 IN X-OBJST/19353/2019 WITH
CA/6190/2020 IN X-OBJST/19291/2019 WITH
CA/6191/2020 IN X-OBJST/19286/2019**

**BAYANABAI BALIRAM SHINDE
VERSUS
THE EX. ENGINEER, LATUR MINOR IRRIGATION LATUR AND
ANOTHER**

...

**Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Sakolkar Vijay G.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe
Mr. S. N. Kendre
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. S.C.Arora**

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 15.11.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants - claimants
in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as

mentioned therein for filing cross objections. The delay appears to be caused due to late receipt of compensation amount. Though the learned counsel for the Acquiring Body and learned AGP strongly opposed the applications, but considering the statutory right of the applicants, the respective delay periods, in all these applications, stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods if the amounts of compensation are enhanced.

2. Cross-objections be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

3. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-