

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

959 CONT. PETITION NO. 389 OF 2021
IN WP/3198/2019

BHAGWAN RAMRAO ZUNGARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Dattatraya R. Jayabhar
Addl. GP for Respondents: Mr. P.S. Patil
...

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
S. G. DIGE, JJ.

DATE : 27th JANUARY, 2022

PER COURT :-

1. It appears that the directions were issued to respondent No. 4 to decide the representation. Respondent No. 4 has *prima facie* observed that the petitioner is not entitled for pension and he is not competent to take decision on it. The petitioner may assail all the aspects in appropriate proceedings.
2. The Contempt Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(S.G. DIGE)
JUDGE

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE