

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

918 WRIT PETITION NO.8470 OF 2017

DIPAK SUBHASH SHIRSATH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Deshmukh Sachin S.

AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mrs. R. P. Gaur

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. S.P. Brahme

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 24.08.2022.

PER COURT :

Learned advocate for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that so far as prayer clause 'B' is concerned, the petitioner would prefer appropriate appeal under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Employees of Private Schools (Conditions of Service) Regulation Act, 1977 and so far as prayer clause 'C' is concerned he would approach the Education Officer with a requisite prayer, who may take appropriate decision.

2. He, therefore, seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to prefer an appeal and approach the Education Officer.
3. Leave is granted.
4. The Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
5. Time spent in prosecuting this remedy may be considered under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-