

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10536 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 18325 OF 2022**

Sulochanabai Shivaji Jagtap

Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & another

Respondents

Mr. R. N. Pahune Patil, advocate holding for Mr. N. J. Pahune Patil, advocate for the Applicant

Mr. B. V. Virdhe, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Ms. Asha D. Sherkhane, advocate for Respondent No.2.

AND

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12268 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20732 OF 2022**

Madan Shridhar Jagtap (deceased),
through L. Rs.

Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & another

Respondents

Mr. R. N. Pahune Patil, advocate holding for Mr. N. J. Pahune Patil, advocate for the Applicant

Mr. S. N. Kendre, AGP for Respondent No.1.

AND

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12271 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20727 OF 2022**

Baburao Bala Jagtap

Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & another

Respondents

Mr. R. N. Pahune Patil, advocate holding for Mr. N. J. Pahune Patil, advocate for the Applicant

Mr. P. M. Kulkarni, AGP for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 14th December, 2022.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in these applications which appear to be caused due to their financial crisis.

The learned AGP as well as the learned Counsel for acquiring body, in one of these applications, strongly opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

Considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods, in all these applications, stand condoned subject to the condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay.

The appeals be placed for admission after removal

of office objections, if any.

Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb