

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**945 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3813 OF 2022
IN FAST/19356/2021**

**VITHAL BALIRAM HARSHE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

**AND
946 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3821 OF 2022
IN FAST/18931/2021**

**SHIVAJI RAMNATH SHINDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. D.M. Pingle, Advocate for the applicants in both applications.

Mr. P.M. Kulkarni and Mr. B.V. Virdhe, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 23.11.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The respective applicants in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein. The delay appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that delay is not properly explained. The Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent.

2. Considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods as mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.
3. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections.
4. The Civil Applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

vd_dhirde