

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**949 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10913 OF 2022
IN WP/5962/2020**

**BALASAHEB TUKARAM KALYANKAR
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR AND
OTHERS**

....

Mr M. D. Narwadkar, Advocate h/f Mr D. B. Chavan, Advocate
for applicant;
Mr P. S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondents/State
Mr D. B. Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent No.2
Mr A. G. Vasmatkar, Advocate h/f Mr S. S. Bora, Advocate
for respondent No.3
Mr Syed Parvez, Advocate h/f Mr U. B. Bilolikar, Advocate for
respondent No.4

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATE : 16th September, 2022

PER COURT:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicant/petitioner, the learned Advocates for the respective respondents and the learned A.G.P.
2. By this civil application, filed within limitation, the applicant/petitioner prays for restoration of the writ petition, which was dismissed on account of non-removal of office

(2)

objections.

3. The learned Advocates representing the non-applicants, vehemently oppose the application.

4. In view of the above and for the reasons set out, this civil application is allowed, subject to the following directions :-

(a) All office objections shall be removed, on or before 30/09/2022.

(b) Thereafter, the writ petition shall be restored at the stage, at which it was dismissed.

(c) Non-compliance of the direction at clause (a), would restore the order dated 15/06/2022.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk