

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 WRIT PETITION NO.7392 OF 2022

**SATISH NAGNATH SHINDE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS CHIEF  
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

....

Mr J. M. Murkute, Advocate for petitioner;  
Mr S. B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents/State  
Mr A. B. Kadethankar, Standing Counsel for respondent No.2

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE  
AND  
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

**DATE : 20th July, 2022**

**PER COURT:**

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clause (C), which reads as under :-

*“C) The respondents may kindly be directed to consider the objection filed by the petitioner and complete the process of Ward Formation taking into consideration Geographical Proximity with the assistance of City Survey Office and Assistant Town Planning Office, Beed.”*

2. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that he has gone through the view taken by this Court vide Judgment dated 05/07/2022, in Writ Petition No.6765/2022, filed by Anant Baburao Golait (Gahilot) Vs. State Election Commission of

(2)

Maharashtra and others, with a group of matters.

3. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner desires to approach the concerned authority and request the said authority to decide the representation, which the petitioner contemplates to file. He prays for disposal of this petition so as to approach the concerned authorities.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. Since the petitioner desires to approach the authorities by filing a representation, we find no reason to express any view thereon.

**(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)**

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)**

sjk