

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

917 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.881 OF 2022

**SANTOSH DNYANOBA @ DNYANDEV PATEKAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

Mr Sanket Palnitkar, Advocate holding for Mr. G.M. Sharma, Advocate
for applicants;
Mr S.B. Narwadkar, A.P.P. for respondent

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 2nd August, 2022

PC.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and the learned A.P.P. for the respondent.
2. It is not in dispute that the alleged weapon used in the crime has been recovered. The injury certificate referred to by the learned A.P.P. also reveals that a grievous injury has been caused to by the injured. The learned A.P.P. has also referred to the statements of the eye-witnesses. He has strongly opposed the application for the sole reason that the offence is serious.
3. The record reveals that the material investigation has been completed. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicants. The

(2)

applicants are young boys having no antecedents to their discredit. No purpose would be served if the applicants are sent behind bars. Considering all the aspects, the application deserves to be allowed. Hence, the following order:-

- i) The application is allowed.
- ii) The order dated 21.7.2022 granting interim protection to the applicants is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

amj