

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

931 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9492 OF 2022
IN WP/1717/2022 WITH WP/1717/2022 WITH CA/9493/2022
IN WP/1717/2022

**FOUZIA ISHRAT SHAIKH SHABBAIRUDDIN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

....

Mr S. R. Kolhare, Advocate for applicant;
Mr P. K. Lakhotiya, A.G.P. for respondents/State
Mr S. M. Ganachari, Advocate for respondent No.2

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATE : 23rd September, 2022

PER COURT:

1. By Civil Application No.9492/2022, the applicant/petitioner desires to place on record in the writ petition, the copy of the advertisement dated 25/05/2011, said to have been published in Daily Aurangabad Times (Urdu), and copy of the communication dated 05/10/2021.
2. The learned Advocate for respondent No.2 - Zilla Parishad has opposed the application.

(2)

3. Despite service of Court notice in the writ petition, none has caused an appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.4 and 5.
4. For the reasons set out in the application, the same is allowed.
5. The documents be placed on record in the writ petition, with proper pagination in continuation, within two weeks from today.
6. The respondents are at liberty to file an additional affidavit-in-reply in the event, it is desired to controvert the documents being produced in pursuance of this order.
7. Insofar as Civil Application No.9493/2022 is concerned, the applicant prays for leave to amend the writ petition in order to bring the subsequent events on record. The Deputy Director has passed an order cancelling the approval of the applicant/petitioner.
8. The learned Advocate representing the Zilla Parishad and the learned A.G.P., oppose the application.
9. For the reasons set out in the application, the same is allowed.
10. Amendment be carried out within three weeks from today.

(3)

A freshly typed amended copy of the memo of the petition paper book, be produced within the same period and copies be supplied to the learned Advocates for the respective respondents.

11. The respondents are at liberty to file additional affidavit-in-reply to contradict the amended portion of the petition memo.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk