

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9930 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 33617/2016
WITH CA/16071/2016 IN FAST/33617/2016

DR VISHWASRAO DAULAT TORAWANE
VERSUS
VIKRAM RAGHO DEORE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. M.V. Bhamre
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Manoj Shinde h/f.
Mr. M.K. Goyanka
....

CORAM : S.G. DIGE, J.
DATE : 15th July, 2022

ORDER :

. Heard learned Counsel for applicant and learned Counsel for respondent No.3.

2. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that, applicant has furnished new address of the respondent No.2 and the notice was issued to the respondent No.2 on corrected address, but the said notice is returned unserved. Hence, the applicant took search of address of respondent No.2 but could not find out correct address.

By this application, applicant is praying to serve respondent No.2 through paper publication in daily newspaper “Deshdoot” at Dahanu.

3. Considering the submissions of learned Counsel for applicant and reasons mentioned in the application, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause ‘B’.

4. The Civil Application is disposed of.

(S.G. DIGE, J.)