

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

45 WRIT PETITION NO.9986 OF 2021

BHAGWAT SAMPAT PANDHARE DIED THROUGH
LRS SUMANBAI BHAGWATPANDHARE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Kale Ajit B.
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.B. Yawalkar
Advocate for the respondent no. 3 : Mr. Chetan T. Jadhav
h/f. Mr. Ajay D. Pawar

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SANDEEP V. MARNE, JJ.**

DATE : 26 SEPTEMBER 2022

PC :

Heard.

2. The petitioners' claim for compensation was apparently settled in a Lok Adalat. However, in a reference made by some other claimants, they were awarded enhanced compensation. The petitioners addressed letter dated 09-06-2021 to the respondent – Collector *albeit* it was not styled as an application under section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, but adverting the attention to the increased compensation awarded to the other claimants and claiming the same benefit.

3. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent – Collector to take appropriate decision on this application dated 09-06-2021 on its own merits.

4. It is made clear that we have not expressed anything either about the maintainability of the application or entitlement of the petitioners to have enhanced compensation.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/