

**IN THE NATIONAL LOK ADALAT PRESIDED OVER BY  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE,  
HELD ON 12<sup>TH</sup> MARCH, 2022.**

**AT HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES SUB-COMMITTEE AT  
AURANGABAD**

**46 FIRST APPEAL NO.1857 OF 2008**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
KALYAN JIJABA KHOTE DIED LRS and ORS

.....  
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for Appellant State  
Mr. D. R. Jaybhar, Advocate for Respondent

....

**47 FIRST APPEAL NO.2184 OF 2008**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA and OTHERS  
VERSUS  
DATTU MAHADEO PHUNDE

...  
Mr. A. M. Phule, Advocate for the Appellant State  
Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for Respondent

....

**48 FIRST APPEAL NO.2212 OF 2008**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
JAGGANNATH RAGHU SONTAKKE AND ANR

....  
Mr. A. M. Phule, Advocate for the Appellant State  
Mr. S. S. Choudhari, Advocate for Respondent No.1 and 2

.....

**49 FIRST APPEAL NO.3168 OF 2008**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
AMBADAS BAPPAJI METE

...  
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellant State  
Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1

and 2

...  
**50 FIRST APPEAL NO.3169 OF 2008**  
WITH FA/3275/2008

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
NARSHU GANGARAM BHIKKAD

Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for Appellant State  
Mr. P. V. Jadhavar Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3

**51 FIRST APPEAL NO.1139 OF 2009**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
MAHADBA GYANBA MUNDE

..  
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for Appellant State  
Mr. Subhash Gutte, Advocate for the Respondent  
..

**52 FIRST APPEAL NO.1787 OF 2009**  
WITH FA/1792/2009 WITH FA/1789/2009  
WITH FA/1788/2009

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
BHAGWAN @BHAGWAT SAHEBRAO AND ORS

..  
Mr. A. M. Phule, AGP for the Appellant State  
Mr. S. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3  
...

**53 FIRST APPEAL NO.1818 OF 2009**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
VAIJNATH NAMDEO HADBE(DIED)  
LRS VAIJNATH N.H. and ORS

...  
Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for the State  
Mr. V. V. Bhavatankar, Advocate for Respondent

**54 FIRST APPEAL NO.1862 OF 2009**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR  
BEED  
VERSUS  
SANJIVANIBAI TRIMBAKAPPA GAURSHETE

...  
Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for the State  
Mr. V. V. Bhavtankar, Advocate for Respondent  
....

**55 FIRST APPEAL NO.1897 OF 2009**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
SITARAM RAMCHANDRA JAMBHALE AND ANR

....  
Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for the State  
Mr. S. s. Jadhavar, Advocate for the Respondent  
....

**56 FIRST APPEAL NO.26 OF 2010**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
SURESH SHIVKUMAR MODI AND OTHERS

...  
Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for the State  
Mr. D. R. Jaybhar, Advocate for Respondent  
....

**57 FIRST APPEAL NO.515 OF 2010**  
WITH FA/507/2010 WITH FA/516/2010

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
BANSI VITHOBA GAIKWAD AND ANR

....  
Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for the State  
Mr. S. L. Bhapkar, Advocate for Respondent No.1  
....

**58 FIRST APPEAL NO.1176 OF 2010**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
MAHADEO BALIRAM TAKAT

**59 FIRST APPEAL NO.1899 OF 2010**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
VERSUS  
SHANKAR KASHINATH GAIKWAD AND ORS

...  
AGP for Appellant/s: Mr. A. M. Phule  
Mr. B. L. Dhas, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3  
Mr. P.D. Dama, Advocate for Respondent No.4  
...

1. These appeals are placed before the Lok-Adalat by the Appellants-State.

2. Mr.A. M. Phule, learned A.G.P. for the Appellants/State submitted that the above numbered group of appeals is squarely covered by the Government Resolution No. Sankirna-2014/prakr.4/Bham-1/A/4 dated 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2016 with Government Corrigendum dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2017 and 13<sup>th</sup> August 2018 to the said Government Resolution. In turn, this group of appeals can be withdrawn as per the policy decision taken by the Government of Maharashtra. Accordingly, the State is withdrawing these present appeals.

3. Mr. A. M. Phule, learned AGP for Appellant/State on instructions, submits that, if the amount of compensation is not deposited before this Court, as well as before the Executing Court, the amount to be deposited within a period of six months

from the receipt of order. After depositing of the said amount, in the reference Court, the claimants are entitled to withdraw the same. However, before disposing of the appeal, it is further clarified that, in case any amount is lying with this Court, than the same shall be transmitted to the reference Court. The reference Court shall disburse the said amount to the original claimants. The claimants are at liberty to make an application before the reference Court and withdraw the said amount.

4. The First Appeals are disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

5. Civil Applications pending if any stand disposed of in view of disposal of First Appeal.

6. The Court fee refund certificate be issued as per the provisions under the Maharashtra Court Fees Act.

[S. P. BRAHME]  
Advocate

[ S.G. SHETE]  
D.J.(Retd.)

[ SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.]  
Head of the Panel Member

Date: 12-03-2022.  
Place: Aurangabad.

Yogesh