

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

3 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1225 OF 2018
IN FAST/17123/2017 WITH CA/1229/2018 IN FAST/17243/2017 WITH
CA/1231/2018 IN FAST/17246/2017 WITH CA/1230/2018 IN
FAST/17251/2017 WITH CA/1227/2018 IN FAST/17255/2017

**BASHIR GULAB CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR**

...

4 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2389 OF 2018
IN FAST/17232/2017 WITH CA/2391/2018 IN FAST/17091/2017 WITH
CA/2392/2018 IN FAST/17224/2017 WITH CA/2393/2018 IN
FAST/17229/2017

**VISHWANATH PIRAJI KUMTHEKAR @ HATTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Shendurnikar Pushkar S.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe,
Mr. S. R. Yadav - Lonikar

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19.12.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants claimants to these applications are seeking condonation of delay of 805 days which appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that there is also circulation delay.

2. The respondent No.2 -Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay of 805 days in all these applications stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay and also for the delay in circulating the matter belatedly.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

5. The applications are disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-