

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**951 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.142 OF 2022
IN FAST/16275/2021**

**BALIRAM SAKHARAM PATIL
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Sakshi A. Kale h/f Mr. A.B. Kale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. A. A. Jagatkar
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. Deepak B. Rasve h/f
Mr. A. D. Pawar

...

AND

**952 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.143 OF 2022
IN FAST/16269/2021**

**BALIRAM SAKHARAM PATIL AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Sakshi A. Kale h/f Mr. A.B. Kale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. Deepak B. Rasve h/f
Mr. A. D. Pawar

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 04/10/2022.**

P. C. :

1. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay as mentioned in these applications, which appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
2. The learned AGP as well as the learned counsel for the acquiring body strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the respective delay periods as mentioned in the application are condoned subject to condition that the claimants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.

4. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)