

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

939 WRIT PETITION NO.7099 OF 2022

SHIVAJI MURLIDHAR JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH THE
SECRETARY AND OTHERS

....

Mr S. N. Rodge, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr S. K. Tambe, A.G.P. for respondents/State

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE : 12th July, 2022

PER COURT:

1. The petitioner has put forth prayer clause (B), which reads as under :-

“B] May kindly be directed to respondent no. 2 to 4 to furnish information from the date of 10/09/1997 to 30/04/1999 from municipal council in respect of salary slip and attendance muster demanded by the petitioner in the interest of Justice.”

2. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits, that he wants to have a look at his own salary slip and his attendance register for the period 10/09/1997 to 30/04/1999. He then submits that, when the petitioner has been dismissed from service by the Municipal Council, he approached the Labour Court. His

(2)

Complaint (ULP) has been dismissed. He then approached the Industrial Court by filing a revision petition. The said revision is also dismissed. He preferred a writ petition before the learned Single Judge Bench of this Court and the same has also been dismissed.

3. Considering the above, he submits that he had approached under the Right to Information Act, 2005 and the State Information Commissioner, Aurangabad, allowed the appeal and directed the authorities to furnish certain documents, which he had claimed. On these premises, he prays that this Court should direct the State Information Commissioner to get his order executed.

4. We are of the view, that the writ of mandamus cannot be issued for seeking execution of the order, passed by the State Information Commissioner. The petitioner has a remedy under Section 20 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

5. In view of the above, this petition is dismissed.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk