

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

102 WRIT PETITION NO.8038 OF 2022

SHRIRAM RADHKRISHNA DHOOT
VERSUS
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL THROUGH ITS CHIEF PRESIDING OFFICER

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Natu Sharad V.
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. N. D. Batule
...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATE : 02nd August, 2022

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner, in the light of the prayer Clause 'B', which reads as under :-

(B) Rule may kindly be made absolute and by issuing appropriate writ or direction in the like nature, respondent be directed to decide and act upon the representation dtd.11/04/2022 submitted by petitioner.

2. The learned Advocate Mr. N. D. Batule causes an appearance on behalf of the Municipal Council, on telephonic instructions. He submits that if this matter is to be considered on the various issues raised, he would have to take instructions.

3. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the

representation dated 07/07/2015 at page No.14 and 11/04/2022 at page No.22 addressed to the Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Shevgaon, be decided within a particular time frame.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

5. We would expect the respondent Chief Officer to consider the representation dated 07/07/2015 and 11/04/2022 by calling for reports from the officers of the Municipal Council. Upon verifying the situation, let the Chief Officer respond to these representations by taking an appropriate decision, as expeditiously as possible and preferably on or before 10/10/2022.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

vjg/-.