

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

981 WRIT PETITION NO.8171 OF 2022

**ANITA BALASAHEB JADHAV AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Petitioners: Mr.Khedkar Avinash S.
AGP for Respondent No.1: Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. V. M. Vibhute

...

**CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ARUN R. PEDNEKER, JJ.**

DATE: 04th AUGUST, 2022

PER COURT:

1. This matter is mentioned out of turn at
06:00 p.m.

2. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners
submits that the Petitioners are identically
situated with Nitinkumar Badrinath Kale, who has
filed Writ Petition No.7022 of 2022, which is
decided on 25.07.2022. He prays that an identical
order be passed.

3. The learned AGP and the learned Advocate Mr. Vibhute, have appeared on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

4. The Petitioners have put-forth prayer clause 'A', as under:-

"A. By issuing a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent No.2 CEO, Zilha Parishad Ahmednagar may be directed to hear and decide the representations dated 01.06.2022 (**Exh-F Collectively**) forwarded by the petitioners for seeking transfer from difficult area to plain area, under couple convenience category, within a period of 3 weeks."

5. The learned Advocate representing Respondent No.2 submits that the representations dated 01.06.2022 would be considered as per the G.R./rules/policies applicable, on or before 30.09.2022.

6. In view of the above, this Petition is disposed off.

7. Let Respondent No.2 decide the representations of the Petitioners, on or before 30.09.2022.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

marathe