

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1823 OF 2022
IN FAST/15348/2021

NIVRUTTI PANDURANG SHINDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH COLLECTOR,
OSMANABAD AND OTHERS

...
928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2108 OF 2022
IN FAST/1491/2021 WITH CA/2109/2022 IN FAST/1654/2021

BITU TULSHIRAM RAJGURU (DIED) THR LRS BALAJI BITU
RAJGURU
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Ingale Vivekanand V.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe
Mr. S. N. Kendre

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 10.11.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The acquiring body in all these applications though served, but remained absent.

2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in the applications which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned AGP strongly

opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the respective delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any. The copy of this order be placed in the appeals.

5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-