

(1)

criapl1724.22

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

956 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1724/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 387/2022

ANANDA LAHANU PANSARE

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1728/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 391/2022

ASHOK LAHANU PANSARE

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1732/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 395/2022

PRAVIN CHHABU BHAVSAR

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1730/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 393/2022

SHANTARAM MUKTAJI RAUT

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1729/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 392/2022

MACHINDRA KARBHARI DHAMALE

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1731/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL

(2)

criapl1724.22

NO. 394/2022
BHIKAJI GOPINATH RAUT
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1733/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 396/2022
BABASAHEB NANA RAUT
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1726/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 389/2022
ALKA ASHOK KASHID
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1727/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 390/2022
VIKRAM BAJIRAO GUNJAL
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1725/2022 IN CRI.APPEAL
NO. 388/2022
BALASAHEB DHARMAJI DHAMALE
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Mr. Vinod Y. Bhide, Advocate for the applicants
Mr. Y. G. Gujrathi, APP for the respondents/State

AND

(3)

criapln1724.22

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1734/2022 AND CRI. APPEAL
NO. 397/2022
KIRAN RAMDAS JADHAV AND OTHERS
VS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

Mr. S. V. Dixit, Advocate i/b Mr. S. S. Dixit,
Advocate for the appellants
Mr. Y. G. Gujrathi, APP for the respondents/State.

**CORAM : S. G. DIGE, J.
VACATION COURT**

DATE : 24th May, 2022

P. C.

1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicants are convicted under Section 3 of the MPID Act and they have been acquitted under various sections of IPC. Only two years sentence is awarded against the accused. Said sentence is suspended by the learned trial court. Hence the appeals be admitted and sentence of the applicants be suspended.

2. The learned APP submits that sentence awarded is short term sentence. Hence, appropriate order be passed.

3. As the applicants were on bail during the trial two years sentence is awarded against the applicants/accused. Hence, in my view, sentence can be suspended till the final disposal of these appeals. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

i] The criminal applications stand allowed.

ii] The conviction awarded to the applicants/accused in Special Case No. 08/2018 passed by the learned Special Judge @ Additional Sessions Judge, Sangamner, Dist. Ahmednagar on 06-05-2022 is hereby suspended till the hearing and conclusion of the criminal appeals.

iii] The applicants/accused shall be released on executing PB. And S. B. of Rs. 20,000/- [Rupees Twenty Thousand Only] each before the trial court.

(5)

criapln1724.22

iv] Parties to act upon authenticated copy
of this order.

[S. G. DIGE, J.]

VishalK/criapln1724.22