

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8832 OF 2016
IN SA/677/2013 WITH CA/747/2015 IN SA/274/2015 WITH
CA/746/2015 IN SA/274/2015 WITH CA/12198/2014 IN SA/677/2013
CA/611/2022 IN SA/677/2013 WITH CA/12390/2013 IN SA/677/2013

BHASKAR VENKAT GHUGE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SURAKHA DATTATRAYA KARAD AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicants : Jadhavar R. K.
Advocate for Respondent No. 1 : Mr. A. T. Jagap
...

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 08, 2022

PER COURT : -

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8832 OF 2016 :

1. This application is filed by applicant who claims to be subsequent purchaser.
2. By this application, the applicant wants to be impleaded as a party respondent and be heard at the time of hearing of the second appeal.
3. The advocate for the applicant states that he has no objection for adding the present applicant / intervenor as party respondent.
4. In view of the above, civil application no. 8832/2016 is allowed in terms of prayer clause "A" and "B" of the application.

5. Advocate for the original appellant should carry out the amendment forthwith.
6. Civil Application is accordingly disposed off.

[RAJESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE