

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.5079 OF 2022

**SANJAY SUKHDEO JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Jaju Nikhil Santosh
AGP for Respondents/State: Mrs. V. S. Chaudhari
Advocate for Respondent No.4: Mr. K. D. Bade Patil
Advocate for Respondent Nos.2, 3 & 5: Mr. S. K. Kadam

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.

DATE : 5th May, 2022

ORDER :

1. The petitioner raised objection for inclusion the names of respondent nos.6 to 191 in the final voters list of respondent no.4/Society. The said objection is rejected by respondent no.2. It is the claim of the petitioner that respondents do not possess 10 R land within the area of operation of respondent no.4/Society.
2. Since this petition raises several disputed questions of fact, it is not possible to entertain the petition at this stage.

3. In view of the Division Bench decision in **Dattatray Genaba Lole and Others Vs. The Divisional Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Pune and Others** reported in **2022(1) BomCR 471**, the petitioner has alternate remedy. Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy. All contentions of the petitioner are kept open.

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

Sameer