

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10668 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12844 OF 2022 WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10666 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12647 OF 2022 WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10670 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12836 OF 2022 WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10669 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12840 OF 2022**

**AND**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10944 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12798 OF 2022 WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10946 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12480 OF 2022**

**AND**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10955 OF 2022 IN  
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 12268 OF 2022**

Mr. B. K. Pawar, advocate holding for Mr. A. B. Kale, advocate  
for the Applicants

Mr. B. V. Virdhe, Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar and Mr. S. N.  
Kendre, AGPs for the Respondent-State authorities..

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**DATE : 06<sup>th</sup> December, 2022.**

**PC :**

Heard rival submissions.

The respective applicants are seeking condonation  
of delay periods, as mentioned in all these applications, which  
appear to be caused due to their financial crisis.

The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained. Respondent No.3-acquiring body, though served, but remained absent.

However, by considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods, in all these applications, stand condoned subject to the condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay.

Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)**  
**JUDGE**

adb