

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5853 OF 2021
IN RC/721/2020**

**TANHABAI EKNATH MIRPAGAR
VERSUS
DAULAT SAHADU LANDGE DECEASED THROUGH LRS DEUBAI AND
OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Temak Rahul B.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 14.01.2022

PER COURT :

Heard the learned advocate Mr. Temak.

2. He points out that the mistake which was called upon to be removed by the office by way of an office objection was in fact an error which has perpetuated in mentioning wrong name in the judgments of both the courts below. The appellant was not supposed to correct such an error and it was for the office to take appropriate steps.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the Application it is allowed. The delay is condoned. The Second Appeal is restored to the file. Office to take appropriate steps for correction of the names.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)