

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**928 CONTEMPT PETITION NO.331 OF 2022
IN WP/1101/2022**

**ASHABAI BAPPAJI BIKKAD
VERSUS
RADHABINOD A. SHARMA AND ANOTHER**

....

Mr H. P. Jadhav, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr S. B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for respondents/State

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE : 28th June, 2022

PER COURT:

1. This matter was heard and a pass over was granted so as to enable the learned A.G.P. to take instructions.
2. The learned A.G.P. submits on instructions, that the proposal of the petitioner, seeking FL-III licence, would be decided within a period of 30 days.
3. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that, the above statement may be recorded and the respondents be directed, not to seek any extension of time. The petitioner is not interested in seeking an order of punishment to the respondents. He is

(2)

satisfied, if his proposal is decided within a period of 30 days.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

5. We have recorded the statement made on behalf of the respondents and the copy of the written communication is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

6. We make it clear that, the respondents will not be permitted to seek an extension of time, and if they fail to comply with their statement, their conduct would amount to an aggravated contempt.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk