

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9477 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 17788 OF 2016

NARSING DNYANOBA KUTWADE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
PRAKASH SHIVAJI JOGDAND AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. S.S. Chillarge h/f.
Mr. S.S. Manale
Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 : Ms. S.S. Tekale h/f.
Mr. S.G. Chapalgaonkar
Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. R.D. Biradar
....

CORAM : S.G. DIGE, J.
DATE : 29th June, 2022

ORDER :

- . Heard learned Counsel for applicants and learned Counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 4.
2. Learned Counsel for applicants submits that, notice of respondent No.5 is returned unserved as he is not residing on given address.
3. Applicants have taken efforts to take out search of address of respondent No.5 but in vain, hence requested to allow the application and permit applicants to serve the respondent No.5 through paper publication.

4. Considering the submissions of learned Counsel for applicants, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.
5. Civil Application is disposed of accordingly.

[S.G. DIGE]
JUDGE