

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4579 OF 2022

Rajeshwar S/o Rajendrakumar Adbalwar

Age : 17 years, Occu. Education,

R/o. Undri (PD), Tq. Mukhed,

Dist. Nanded

Through father and natural guardian

Rajendrakumar S/o Rajba Adbalwar

Age : 42 years, Occu. Service,

R/o. As above

.. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Tribal Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai

2. The Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate
Verification Committee Kinwat,
Through its Dy. Director ®,
At Aurangabad.

.. Respondents

Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &
S. G. MEHARE, JJ.**

DATED : 25th APRIL 2022.

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per R. D. Dhanuka, J.) :-

. Rule. The learned A.G.P. waives service for the respondents-State.

Rule made returnable forthwith.

2. By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks an order or direction against the respondent No. 2 – committee to finalize the tribe claim verification proceeding, as early as possible.

3. The petitioner is a student and he will have to produce the tribe validity certificate before the educational institution for seeking admission for further studies.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner invited our attention to the judgment delivered by the Division Bench of this Court on 18.04.2022 in Writ Petition No. 4339 of 2022 in case of Satvik S/o Ramesh Pataniwar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and another with other connected writ petitions and submits that similar order may be passed by this Court.

5. The learned A. G. P. does not distinguish the said judgment delivered by the Division Bench of this court on 18.04.2022 in Writ Petition No. 4339 of 2022 in case of Satvik S/o Ramesh Pataniwar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and another with other connected writ petitions.

6. We accordingly pass the following order.

7. In case, the proposal seeking validation of the tribe claim of the petitioner is pending with respondent No. 2, then respondent No. 2 shall endeavour to decide the validation proceedings by 30.06.2022. The petitioner shall appear before the committee on 28.04.2022. The petitioner shall co-operate in expeditious disposal of the proceedings.
8. Writ petition stands disposed of.
9. Rule is made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.
10. Parties to act upon the authenticate copy of this order.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

(R. D. DHANUKA)
JUDGE

PS.B.