

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6888 OF 2019 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 11981 OF 2019**

Rushikesh Eknath Chaudhari (died)
through Legal representative Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. V. V. Ingale, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. P. N. Kutti, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Mrs. Sunita D. Shelke, advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6889 OF 2019 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 11983 OF 2019**

Sambhaji Dharmaji Chaudhari Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. V. V. Ingale, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. A. A. Jagatkar, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Mrs. Sunita D. Shelke, advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE J.

DATE : 15th September, 2022.

PC :

Both these applications are filed by the applicants for
condonation of delay as mentioned in these applications. The

delay appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

The learned AGP as well as the learned Counsel for Respondents No. 2 and 3 – acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons mentioned in the applications, the respective delay periods stand condoned subject to the condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the delayed periods.

The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

Both these Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb