

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.3512 OF 2011

**PANDIT BABURAO PACHARANE AND ORS
VERSUS
BABURAO RAMNATH PACHARANE AND ORS**

...
Mr B. R. Kedar, Advocate for petitioners;
Mr Kshirsagar, Advocate h/f Mr A. S. Deshpande, Advocate for
respondent Nos.5 to 7

CORAM : SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATE : 16th February, 2022

PER COURT:

1. The learned Counsel for respondent Nos.5 to 7 state that in the wake of the subsequent development, that the Regular Civil Suit No.139/2009 itself is disposed of, the present writ petition, which pose a challenge to the finding rendered by the Court below Exh.5 and the judgment delivered in Misc. Civil Appeal do not warrant any adjudication.

The learned Counsel for the petitioners state that he has no instructions on the aforesaid aspect.

(2)

2. Accepting the statement made on behalf of learned Counsel for respondent Nos.5 to 7, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

If the aforesaid statement is found to be incorrect, the parties are at liberty to revive the writ petition.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)

sjk