

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

947 WRIT PETITION NO. 4890 OF 2022

Kiran S/o. Rajendra Dhangar, **...PETITIONER**
Age-25 years, Occu- Service,
Peon of Madhyamik Vidyalaya,
Bahal, Tq. Chalisgaon, Dist. Jalgaon

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra, **...RESPONDENTS**
Through its Principal Secretary,
Sports and Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Nashik Region, Nashik Road, Nashik,
Tq. & Dist. Nashik
3. The Education Officer (Secondary)
Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon

Mr. R. I. Wakade, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for the respondent/State

AND

949 WRIT PETITION NO. 4979 OF 2022

Samadhan Prakash Borase, **...PETITIONER**
Age-32 years, Occu-Service,
Peon of Shri Chatrapati Shivaji Madhyamik,
Vidyalaya, Pohore,
Tq. Chalisgaon, Dist. Jalgaon

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra, **...RESPONDENTS**
Through its Principal Secretary,
Sports and Education Department,

Mantralaya, Mumbai-32

2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Nashik Region, Nashik Road, Nashik,
Tq. & Dist. Nashik
3. The Education Officer (Secondary)
Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon

Mr. R. I. Wakade, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for the respondent/State

AND
956 WRIT PETITION NO.5023 OF 2022

Rahul Rajendra Pardeshi, **...PETITIONER**
Age-27 years, Occu-Service,
Peon of Madhyamik Vidyalaya Sarve,
Tq. Pachora, Dist. Jalgaon

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra, **...RESPONDENTS**
Through its Principal Secretary,
Sports and Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Nashik Region, Nashik Road, Nashik,
Tq. & Dist. Nashik
3. The Education Officer (Secondary)
Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon

Mr. R. I. Wakade, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for the respondent/State

CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &
S. G. MEHARE, JJ.

DATE : 04th May, 2022

JUDGMENT:

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.

2. The learned AGP waives service of notice for the respondent/State.

2. By these three petitions the petitioners have prayed for writ of mandamus against the respondent No.3 Education Officer (Secondary) to decide the proposal made on various dates mentioned in the prayer Clause-B of the petition which are still pending. Statement is accepted.

3. We accordingly direct the respondent No.3 to decide such pending proposals of these petitioners within six months from today. The order that would be passed by respondent No.3 shall be communicated to the petitioners within one week from date of passing the order. If the order is against the petitioners, the petitioners would be at liberty to file appropriate proceeding. If the order is in favour of the petitioners, consequential reliefs sought by the petitioners shall be considered in accordance with law. It is made clear that this court has not expressed any view on the merits of

the representations made by the petitioners. All the contentions and merits are kept open. The writ petitions are disposed of in aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute.

4. Parties to act upon authenticated copy of this order.

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]

[R. D. DHANUKA, J.]

VishalK/wp4890.22.odt