

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**968 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9919 OF 2022
IN FAST/10940/2022**

**JIJABHAU S/O BANSI SOLANKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DISTRICT
COLLECTOR, PARBHANI AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. Rajesh Kale, Advocate holding for Mr. Ramchandra J.
Nirmal, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. S.N. Kendre, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. S.B. Patil, Advocate for respondent No.3.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 16.11.2022.

ORDER :

1. Heard rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 768 days in filing the appeal, which appears to be caused due to his poor financial condition. The learned A.G.P. as well as the learned Counsel for respondent No.3 - Acquiring Body strongly opposed the application on the ground that delay is not properly explained.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant, the delay of 768 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay.

3. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objection.

4. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)