

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9044 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 197 OF 2015**

Sudha w/o Kailash Chavhan & others Applicants

Versus

Shaikh Salim Shaikh Mubarak & others Respondents

Mr. S. B. Bhapkar, advocate for the Applicants
Mr. S. G. Chapalgaonkar, advocate for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE J.

DATE : 08th September, 2022.

PC :

Heard.

This is an application for service of notice to Respondent No.1 by paper publication. The record shows that notice to Respondent No.1, who is the driver of the offending vehicle, could not be served for want of correct address. However, in the trial Court, he was served on the same address. As such, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "B" and "C", on necessary charges.

The application is accordingly disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb