

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13965 OF 2019
IN WP/5066/1998 WITH CA/4210/2012 IN WP/5066/1998 WITH
WP/5066/1998

BABURAO LAXMANRAO SHAKKARWAR
VERSUS
THE NANDED SAHKARI AUDYOGIK WASAHAT LTD NANDED
THRO ITS MANAGER/ACCOUNTANT AND ANOTHER

Shri. V. V. Bhavthankar, Advocate for the applicant
Shri. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for the respondent/State
Shri. Amol Gandhi, Advocate h/f Shri. P. V. Mandlik, Advocate for
the petitioner

**CORAM : M. G. SEWLIKAR, J.
DATED : 29th JULY, 2022**

PER COURT :-

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel Shri. Bhavthankar for the applicant submits that the petitioner-society had raised the dispute against respondent No. 1. This dispute was allowed and appeal was partly allowed. Against the decision in appeal, society has preferred this writ petition.
3. Learned counsel Shri. Bhavthankar for the applicant submits that vide Exhibit-A respondent No. 1 was called upon by

the petitioner-society to settle the claim once and for all. Accordingly vide Exhibit-B amount of settlement was fixed at Rs.1,50,000/-. The said amount has been paid by respondent No. 1 vide cheque No. 514988 drawn on SBI, Nanded. Learned counsel Shri. Bhavthankar makes a statement at Bar that the cheque has been honoured and the society has received its amount.

4. Learned counsel Shri. Gandhi h/f Shri. Mandlik, learned counsel Shri. Mandlik for the petitioner submits that communication was made to the petitioner-society but it has not responded and this writ petition can be disposed of on the statement made by the learned counsel Shri. Bhavthankar. In view of this, since the matter appears to have been settled as contended by Shri. Bhavthankar, learned counsel for the petitioner, writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

5. All the pending civil applications are disposed of.

[M. G. SEWLIKAR, J.]

ssp