

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

912 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.538 OF 2022

DEVIDAS MANSING RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. R. D. Sanap.
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari.

...

AND

913 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.543 OF 2022

SHRIRAM DEVIDAS RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. R. D. Sanap.
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari.

...

AND

914 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.544 OF 2022

DEVIDAS MANSING RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. R. D. Sanap.
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari.

...

AND

915 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.545 OF 2022

DEVIDAS MANSING RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan.

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. R. D. Sanap.

Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari.

...

AND

916 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.546 OF 2022

SHRIRAM DEVIDAS RATHOD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan.

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. R. D. Sanap.

Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. Abhijit G. Choudhari.

...

CORAM : **SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.**

DATE : 21st September, 2022.

P.C.:

. Mr. Sudhir Chavan, learned counsel for the petitioners in respective petitions, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw these petitions with liberty to challenge the order of issue process before the Sessions Court according to the provisions of law.

2 Mr. Abhijit Choudhari, learned counsel for respondent No.2 in respective petitions and Mr. Sanap, learned APP for the State in all the petitions have no objection.

3 The criminal writ petitions stand disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the respective petitioners to file appropriate criminal revision

applications before the concerned Sessions Court as permissible under the law.

4 The learned Sessions Judge may consider the time, which the petitioners have spent in prosecuting these criminal writ petitions and accordingly pass appropriate orders.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

nga