

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

942 WRIT PETITION NO.5232 OF 2022

ROHIDAS CHANDRAO KAMBLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

AND
943 WRIT PETITION NO.5237 OF 2022

VANDANA VEDBHAGWAN SHARMA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Shri Ghatge Mahesh V.
AGP for Respondents 1, 3, 4 and 5 : Shri S.G. Sangle

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE :- 22nd June, 2022

Per Court :-

1. The identically placed petitioners have put forth
prayer clauses A and B as under :-

“A) *The respondent Education Officer (Secondary),
Zilla Parishad, Nanded may kindly be directed
to decide proposal dt.11.07.2018 submitted by
respondent Pratibha Niketan Education Society,
Nanded for approval of promotion of petitioner
to the post of Head Master of respondent No.7
Pratibha Niketan High School, at Kailas Nagar,*

Nanded and grant approval to the promotion of petitioner as Head Master.

B) The respondent Education Officer (Secondary) and respondent Superintendent, Pay and Provident Fund Unit, Zilla Parishad, Nanded may kindly be directed to fix pay scale with statutory increments and benefits of 7th Pay Commission of petitioner on the post of Head Master w.e.f. 01.07.2018 and pay the difference in salary up till 30.09.2018.”

2. The learned AGP causes an appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 3, 4 and 5.

3. Having considered the submissions of the learned counsel, we find that the pending proposals before respondent No.4 need to be decided expeditiously.

4. In view of the above, these two Writ Petitions are disposed off with the direction to respondent No.4/ Education Officer to decide the pending proposals of these two petitioners, dated 11.07.2018 and 23.08.2019, respectively. We expect that respondent No.4 would pass an order on these two proposals as expeditiously as possible and in any case, on or before 30.07.2022.

5. In the event, the petitioners' claims are favourably dealt with and are granted approval, we grant further time to the respondents to ensure that all consequential benefits are made

available to the petitioners within a period of sixty days thereafter.

kps (ANIL L. PANSARE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)