

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

925 FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.19 OF 2022

DATTATRAY VISHWANATH KHANDEKAR
VERSUS
JYOTSNA ABHAY TARANGE AND ANOTHER

...

Mr.R.P. Karhadkar, advocate for the appellant.

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
S.G.DIGE, JJ.**

DATE : 31.03.2022

P.C. :

1. The learned advocate for the appellant submits that this Appeal would not be maintainable since the impugned order has been passed under section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code and he needs to file a revision petition under section 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984.

2. In view of the above, this Appeal is disposed off as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to avail of the remedy as is permissible in law.

3. Time spent by the appellant in this Court from 25.03.2022 till the passing of this order, would be considered as a good ground for condonation of delay, if any.

(S.G.DIGE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)