

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**935 WRIT PETITION NO. 4394 OF 2022**

Shaikh Naveedoddin Naziruddin,                      ...PETITIONER  
Age-29 years, Occu-Service,  
R/o. Nitin Nagar, Kannad,  
Tq. Kannad, Dist. Aurangabad

**VERSUS**

1.    The State of Maharashtra,                      ...RESPONDENTS  
      Through its Secretary,  
      School Education Department,  
      Government of Maharashtra,  
      Mantalaya, Mumbai-32
2.    The Education Officer (Secondary),  
      Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad

Mr. Nilesh N. Bhagat, Advocate h/f Mr. C. K. Shinde,  
Advocate for the  
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for the respondents/State

**CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &  
             S. G. MEHARE, JJ.**

**DATE : 04<sup>th</sup> May, 2022**

**P. C.**

1.            Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith.
2.            The learned AGP waives service of notice  
for the respondents/State.
3.            By this petition filed under Article 226 of

the Constitution of India the petitioner has sought writ of mandamus order, direction respondent No. 2 to grant approval to the appointment of the petitioner and to take decision on the proposal seeking approval of the post. The proposal is still pending before the respondent No.2.

4. We direct respondent No.2 to decide the said proposal within a period of three months from today without fail. The order that would be passed by respondent No. 2 shall be communicated to the petitioner from the date of passing of such order. If the proposal is accepted and approved by the respondent No.2, consequential benefits shall be granted to the the petitioner within a period of eight weeks thereafter. If the proposal is against the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings. The petition is disposed of. Rule is made absolute in above terms.

5. Parties to act upon authenticated copy of this order.

[S. G. MEHARE, J.]

[R. D. DHANUKA, J.]