

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**946 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4142 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/9872/2021**

**GOVINDRAJ MADHAVRAO CHITRAL AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Ms. Sakshi Kale, Advocate holding for
Mr. A.B. Kale, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S.R. Yadav-Lonikar, A.G.P. for respondent No.1.
...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 07.09.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 1532 days in filing cross objections, which has been caused due to insufficient funds. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay. None appeared for respondent No.3 Acquiring Body despite service.

2. Considering the statutory right of the application and for the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the delay of 1532 days stands condoned, subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

3. The application is accordingly disposed of.
4. The cross objection be placed for registration after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)