

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

997 WRIT PETITION NO.4443 OF 2022

SANDIP VASANT BHOLE
VERSUS
MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT
CORPORATION AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
Advocate for the respondents : Mr. Manoj Shinde
...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 13 JULY 2022

PC :

Learned advocate for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is merely aggrieved by the *interlocutory* order passed by the Industrial Court whereby his application (Exhibit - U-2) has been rejected. He submits that he would make appropriate representation to the appointing and controlling authorities on the administrative side, however, the order under challenge in the petition could come in the way.

2. Learned advocate for the respondent – Corporation submits that petitioner may make a representation on the administrative side and the authorities would take appropriate decision.

3. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

4. The respondent – official shall not feel influenced by either the observations of this Court or the observations made by the Industrial Court in the order under challenge.

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/