

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8768 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 9290 OF 2021**

Radhakishan s/o Shrirangrao Yadav Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. K. B. Jadhav, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr. V. C. Solshe, advocate for Respondent No.3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8776 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 9196 OF 2021**

Halimabee Babakar Chause
and others Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. K. B. Jadhav, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr. Ram B. Deshpande, advocate for Respondent No.3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8784 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 8420 OF 2021**

Sk. Hasan Sk. Husain Chause Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. K. B. Jadhav, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mrs. S. G. Chincholkar, advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8783 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 8423 OF 2021**

Tukaram Narayan Yadav & others Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. K. B. Jadhav, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8785 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 8418 OF 2021**

Ashok Madhukarrao Yadav Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. K. B. Jadhav, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr. S. G. Bhalerao, advocate for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE J.

DATE : 08th September, 2022.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The respective applicants, in all these Civil

Applications, are seeking condonation of delay of 4135 days caused in filing the appeals. According to them, the delay has been caused due to their poor financial condition.

The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that there is no proper explanation given by the applicants about the delay.

However, considering the statutory right of the applicants to defend and for the reasons stated in the applications, delay of 4135 days stands condoned subject to the condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay.

The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

All these applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb