

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

904 WRIT PETITION NO.3881 OF 2022

**M/S JADHAV AGRO EQUIPMENT THR ITS PROPRIETOR KAMLAKAR
PANDHARINATH JADHAV AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr.Urgunde Suhas P.
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 -State : Mr. S.K. Tambe
Advocate for respondent No. 4 : Mr. H.V. Patil
...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE : 15.06.2022.

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 Corporation, along with the learned A.G.P. for Respondent-State. With their assistance, we have perused the prayers.

2. The petitioners have a statutory efficacious remedy by preferring an appeal under Section 406 of the Municipal Corporation Act, 1949, to the court of the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division and a second appeal before the learned District Judge.

3. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with liberty to the petitioners, to avail the statutory remedies as are permissible in law. The time spent by the petitioners in this Court

from 17.04.2022, till the passing of this order, would be a good ground for condonation of delay, if any.

(ANIL L. PANSARE)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

mahajansb/