

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

26 FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.31 OF 2022
WITH CA/6310/2022 IN FCA/31/2022 WITH CA/8719/2016 IN
FCA/31/2022

SAU. VAISHALI PRAVINKUMAR PAIKRAO
VERSUS
PRAVINKUMAR VITHALRAO PAIKRAO

....

Mr S. V. Deshmukh, Advocate for appellant;
Ms. Nayana Patil, Advocate h/f Ms. Surekha Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATE : 6th June, 2022

PER COURT:

1. We have briefly heard the submissions of the learned Advocates for the respective parties.
2. We have expressed a view that the ex-parte judgment, dissolving the marriage, could be set aside by imposition of costs of Rs.25,000/- on the appellant.
3. At the request of the respondent, stand over to 09/06/2022 in the 'passing orders' category.

(2)

4. The ad interim relief, granted earlier, would continue.
5. Consequentially, Civil Application No.6310/2022, would not survive and stands disposed off.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk