

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**906 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3996 OF 2021
IN FAST/9123/2021**

**NANA YADAV NANGURDE (DIED) THR LRS RAOSAHEB AND
ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

**AND
907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4164 OF 2021
IN FAST/5719/2021**

**CHANDRABHAN YADAVRAO NANGURDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

**AND
908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4166 OF 2021
IN FAST/5715/2021**

**SANDIP WALMIK NANGURDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

**Advocate for Applicants : Ms. Sangeeta Pahune Patil h/f.
Mr. Pahune Patil Nandkishor J.
AGP for Respondent/s – State : Mr. S. R. Yadav - Lonikar**

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19.10.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respondent No. 2 - Acquiring
Body though served, but remained absent. The respective applicants

in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications. The delay appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods as mentioned in these applications are condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the said delay periods.

3. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-