

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5106 OF 2022

IN

RC/54/2018

BHANUDAS MAROTI LAGGAD

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. N. T. Bhagat

...

AND

...

921 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5107 OF 2022

IN

RC/61/2018

SATISH PANDHARINATH SONAR AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicants: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. P. M. Kulkarni

...

AND

...

922 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5109 OF 2022

IN

RC/53/2018

KALURAM UKHAJI GUNJAL

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. N. Morampalle

...

AND

...

923 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5110 OF 2022

IN

RC/62/2018

BALU EKNATH SURASE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Deshmukh

...

AND

...

924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5111 OF 2022

IN

RC/63/2018

UTTAM SYAMRAO DUBELE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. N. T. Bhagat

...

AND

...

925 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5112 OF 2022

IN RC/60/2018

DARUBAI EKNATH SURASE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. P. M. Kulkarni

...

AND

...

926 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5113 OF 2022**IN****RC/241/2018****RAMCHANDRA BHANUDAS MULE****VERSUS****THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER****...****Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. N. Morampalle****...****AND****...****927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5114 OF 2022****IN****RC/242/2018****NARAYAN BABURAO GAWALI****VERSUS****THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER****...****Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Deshmukh****...****AND****...****928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5115 OF 2022****IN****RC/240/2018****SHANKAR BALARAM DUBELE****VERSUS****THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER****...****Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. N. T. Bhagat****...****AND****...**

929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5116 OF 2022**IN****RC/243/2018****SHANKAR BALARAM DUBELE****VERSUS****THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SPECIAL LAND
ACQUISITION OFFICER, AURANGABAD AND ANOTHER****...****Advocate for Applicant: Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.****AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. P. M. Kulkarni****...****CORAM: SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.****DATE: 08th APRIL, 2022****PER COURT:**

1. These are the application moved by the respective applicants / claimants so as to quash and set aside the orders dated 12.12.2017 and 23.01.2018 passed by the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court thereby registration was refused on account of non removal of office objections.

2. Heard Mr. F. R. Tandale, learned Counsel for the applicants / claimants and Mr. N. T. Bhagat, Mr. P. M. Kulkanri, Mr. S. N. Morampalle and Mr. S. P. Deshmukh, learned A.G.Ps. for the Respondent / State in respective Civil Applications. The learned A.G.Ps. Opposed to allow these applications.

3. It is revealed during the course of argument that the office has raised objections regarding deficit court fees. Time was given to the respective claimants to satisfy the office objections by depositing necessary deficit court fees within the time. The claimants poor farmers could not arrange for the deficit court fees during time. Ultimately the Registrar (Judicial) vide orders dated 12.12.2017 and 23.01.2018 was pleased to refuse the registration.

4. Having regard to the nature of dispute arising out of compulsory land acquisition cases for enhancement of compensation, it is necessary to allow these applications for the ends of justice.

ORDER

[I] The applications are hereby allowed.

[II] The orders passed by the Registrar (Judicial) dated 12.12.2017 and 23.01.2018 thereby refusing the registration are hereby quashed and set aside.

[III] The applicants / claimants are hereby permitted to deposit court fees in respective appeals within six (06) weeks from today and thereafter Office / Registry after examining the respective appeals as per the procedure these be numbered and placed before the Court for admission.

5. Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

marathe